## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## MILLER & HOLBROOKE

1225 NINETEENTH STREET, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

TERESA D. BAER
FREDERICK E. ELLROD III
LISA S. GELB
LARRINE S. HOLBROOKE
ELDRED INGRAHAM\*\*
TILLMAN L. LAY
NICHOLAS P. MILLER

JOSEPH VAN EATON

Telephone (202) 785-0600 Facsimile (202) 785-1234 WILLIAM R. MALONE OF COUNSEL

BETTY ANN KANE\*
FEDERAL RELATIONS ADVISOR

\*Not Admitted to the Bar \*\*Admitted in Pennsylvania Only

RECEIVED

May 5, 1993 MAY - 5 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Donna R. Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Request for Advance Ruling on

Confidential Treatment of

Appendix to Dkt. 92-298 Reply Comments

Dear Madam Secretary:

Because of the attending urgency, facsimile copies of the letter signed by Mr. Kahn were filed on May 3.

The original letter is enclosed herewith, and you are requested to substitute it for one of the facsimile copies.

Respectfully submitted,

William Malone

Attorney for Leonard R. Kahn

WM:bym Enclosure

original.ltr (0369)

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List A B C D E

## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

LEONARD R. KAHN
222 WESTBURY AVENUE
CARLE PLACE, NEW YORK 11514
(516) 222-2221

May 3, 1993

RECEIVED

Via Express Mail

MAY - 5 1993

Ms. Donna R. Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., #222 Washington, D.C. 20554 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: AM Stereo (Docket No. 92-298)

Dear Madam Secretary:

Reference is made to your letter dated April 22, 1993, returning the confidential appendices to my comments and rely comments in this proceeding. I believe that these appendices contain legally relevant and outcome-determinative information that the Commission should want to consider, and indeed is obliged to consider, in disposing of the issues in this proceeding. This letter suggests a way in which Commission consideration can be accomplished without violating court orders.

The appendices are relevant and material to the extent that they describe legal obstacles to the Commission's proposal to adopt Motorola's stereo system as a sole AM sterec standard and that they show that Motorola's stereo system's alleged dominance of the AM stereo market was obtained in violation of the antitrust laws. The statutory public interest standard precludes the Commission from acting in defiance of the antitrust laws, and P.L. 102-538 neither excuses past violations of the antitrust laws nor authorizes the Commission to act in furtherance of any on-going violation of the antitrust laws.

As stated in my letter of April 19th,

The Confidential Appendix to the undersign's April 4th, 1993 submission contains confidential information and discussions of such confidential information which was designated

Accordingly, the appendix and its attachments should be treated by the Commission as confidential. Copies of this material have, of course, been served on Motorola, Inc.

Motorola, in its reply comments filed April 20th stated in pertinent part that:

"B. No FCC Investigation Is Appropriate of Any Ongoing Allegations Which Are Currently, and Properly, Being Pursued Through Judicial Tribunals. These Issues Are Not Appropriate For Deliberation In The Instant Proceeding And Are No Impediment To Selection Of An AM Standard."

In Reply Comments filed by the undersigned, there is a confidential appendix submitted, in which the following statement appears:

- "Adoption of the Motorola AM Stereo system would (a) violate the Federal Communications Act 47 USC 313, as amended, and (b) aid and abet further violations by Motorola of the Federal Antitrust Laws, Sherman Act, 15 USC 1, 2."
- "The Commission is required by the Communications Act, Section 313, to respect the antitrust laws of this country."
- "On the other hand, the Commission is required by an act of Congress (no matter how improperly passed) to select a single system. That act does not require the Commission to select the Motorola system..." (Bold print added)

In order to put the Reply Appendix (this request for an advanced rulling concerns only the Reply Appendix) before the Commission

A timely ruling on this request, referable to a Commission decision in Docket No. 92-298, is sought.

Respectfully submitted,

conard R. Kann

LRK/jd

ej 24 🐈

cc: Michael Menius, Esq.
David H. Solomon, Esq.

Of counsel:
William Malone, Esq.
(202) 785-0600
Miller & Holbrooke
1225 Nineteenth Street, N.W., #400
Washington, D. C. 20036-2420